

SUMÁRIO

CAPÍTULO 1

INDEPENDÊNCIA, IMPARCIALIDADE E TOMADA DE DECISÃO JUDICIAL NO TERCEIRO MILÊNIO: UM ESTUDO MUNDIAL 19

Álvaro Perez Ragone e Edilson Vitorelli

I. OBSERVAÇÕES PRELIMINARES	19
II. O DIREITO A UM JULGAMENTO JUSTO É FUNDAMENTAL.....	27
III. O JUDICIÁRIO E A INDEPENDÊNCIA DOS JUÍZES	34
1. Independência judicial em um sistema multinível.....	36
2. O que significa independência judicial (visão geral)?.....	36
3. Facetas tradicionais da independência judicial.....	38
4. Independência decisória: incentivos que fortalecem (ou enfraquecem) a independência judicial	40
5. Relatórios nacionais. Principais destaques.....	42
6. Síntese.....	50
IV. IMPARCIALIDADE	51
1. Dimensões	52
2. Perspectiva comparativa.....	53
3. Síntese	59
V. NEUTRALIDADE: ISSO É IMPORTANTE?.....	60
1. Uma visão geral	60
2. Neutralidade importa?	63
3. Síntese: o aspecto atitudinal da inter-relação entre independência, imparcialidade e neutralidade	66
VI. IMPARCIALIDADE E COMPORTAMENTO JUDICIAL	68
1. Premissa	68
2. Imparcialidade e tomada de decisões judiciais: modelos analíticos	69
3. Heurística e vieses: origens e conceitos seminais	72
4. Psicologia comportamental e o processo de tomada de decisão	75
5. Psicologia comportamental e tomada de decisões judiciais.....	77
5.1 Especialização temática e cascatas de disponibilidade	78

5.2	Levando em conta fatores emocionais.....	79
5.3	Âncoras numéricas aleatórias	79
5.4	Busca de coerência e dependência de contexto	80
5.5	Viés de retrospectiva	81
5.6	Preconceitos raciais, étnicos, de gênero, ideológicos e de nacionalidade.....	82
5.7	Verdade, preconceitos e análise de evidências	83
6.	Ruído	84
7.	Os relatórios nacionais	86
8.	Observações finais.....	90
VII.	IMPACTOS DA TECNOLOGIA SOBRE O SISTEMA DE JUSTIÇA, A IMPARCIALIDADE E O DIREITO A UM JULGAMENTO JUSTO	91
1.	Introdução	91
2.	Os relatórios nacionais	94
3.	O futuro: juízes robôs?	101
VIII.	CONSIDERAÇÕES FINAIS: O JUDICIÁRIO COMO INIMIGO E A IMPARCIALIDADE COMO ARMA.....	107

CAPÍTULO 2

NATIONAL REPORT: AUSTRALIA 111

William van Caenegem

1.	The Judicial branch in Australia.....	111
2.	Judicial Appointments in Australia	113
3.	Guarantees of judicial independence in Australia	117
4.	Neutrality and impartiality of judges	120
5.	A model: the Judicial Commission of NSW	124
6.	Cognitive bias in judicial decision-making.....	125
7.	AI and the judicial function.....	133
8.	Conclusions	140

CAPÍTULO 3

NATIONAL REPORT: AUSTRIA 143

Georg E. Kodek

I.	Questions	143
II.	Open and free contributions for the discussion	149

CAPÍTULO 4

NATIONAL REPORT: BRAZIL 151

Sergio Cruz Arenhart, Daniel Mitidiero e Gustavo Osna

I.	Questions	151
II.	Open and free contributions for the discussion	164

CAPÍTULO 5

NATIONAL REPORT: CHILE 165

Ramón García Odgers, Claudio Fuentes Maureira e Raúl Núñez Ojeda

Introducción y aspectos generales de la organización del poder judicial en Chile	165
1. La independencia del poder judicial chileno. Aspectos institucionales e individuales relevantes	168
1.1 Sistema de nombramiento y promoción de los jueces: entre luces y sombras.....	168
1.2 Sistema de responsabilidad, amovilidad o remoción de los jueces y control disciplinario	173
1.3 Sistemas de presupuesto, remuneraciones e incentivos de gestión en el Poder Judicial	177
2. Imparcialidad	180
3. Neutralidad y objetividad.....	182
4. Sesgos cognitivos y su influencia en el trabajo del juez.....	184
5. Tecnología, proceso digital, tratamiento de datos personales, big data e inteligencia artificial	187
5.1. La Ley de Tramitación Electrónica y las audiencias virtuales.....	188
5.2. Publicidad de sentencias y datos personales.....	190
5.3. Big data y experiencias de uso de Inteligencia Artificial.....	192
Bibliografía.....	194

CAPÍTULO 6

NATIONAL REPORT: CHINA 199

Fu Yulin e Cao Zhixun

I. Questions	199
II. Open and free contributions for the discussion	217

CAPÍTULO 7

NATIONAL REPORT: CROATIA 219

Marko Bratković Juraj Brozović

I. Questions	219
II. Open and free contributions for the discussion	232

CAPÍTULO 8

NATIONAL REPORT: FRANCE..... 233

Soraya Amrani Mekki

I. Questions	233
II. Open and free contributions for the discussion	256

CAPÍTULO 9**NATIONAL REPORT: GAMBIA 259***Haddy C. Roche*

1. The design of judicial independence.....	259
2. The relevant instruments.....	259
3. The trrc report and recommendations.....	262
4. The judicial oath of office.....	267
5. Impartiality and neutrality.....	268
6. Cognitive heuristics.....	268
7. Race and gender issues.....	270
8. The evidentiary phase.....	270
9. The use of technology.....	271

CAPÍTULO 10**NATIONAL REPORT: GERMANY 273***Michael Stürner*

I. Questions.....	273
II. Open and free contributions for the discussion.....	281

CAPÍTULO 11**NATIONAL REPORT: HUNGARY 283***Viktória Harsági*

1. Introduction.....	283
2. Distribution of cases and the judicial structure.....	284
3. Judges, panels.....	289
4. Information technology.....	292
5. The issue of the status of a judge.....	294
6. Amendments in order to meet rule of law criteria.....	296
7. Conclusion.....	302

CAPÍTULO 12**NATIONAL REPORT: ITALIA 303***Luca Passanante e Matteo Pacilli***CAPÍTULO 13****NATIONAL REPORT: JAPAN 335***Toshitaka Kudo*

I. Questions.....	335
II. Open and free contributions for the discussion.....	346

CAPÍTULO 14

NATIONAL REPORT: LITHUANIA 347

Vigita Vebraitė

I. Questions 347

CAPÍTULO 15

NATIONAL REPORT: MEXICO 353

Carina Gómez Fröde e María Guadalupe Evaristo López

I. Interrogatorio 353

II. Contribuciones abiertas y libres para discusión 384

CAPÍTULO 16

NATIONAL REPORT: NORWAY 389

Anna Nylund

I. Questions 389

CAPÍTULO 17

NATIONAL REPORT: PERU 395

Christian Delgado

I. Questions 395

CAPÍTULO 18

NATIONAL REPORT: POLAND 413

Kinga Flaga-Gieruszyńska, Joanna Studzińska e Aleksandra Klich

From the institutional perspective, how is the independency of the Judiciary designed in your country? Are there any cases or controversies regarding this institutional design that you find interesting? 413

From an individual perspective, how is the independency of the judge protected in your country? Are there any cases or controversies regarding the limits of this independence? 419

Regarding the judge and decisional impartiality, how is it regulated in your country? Are there relevant cases that discuss this issue? 422

The Law on Ordinary Courts Organisation 431

Code of Civil Procedure 433

Selected judicial decisions 440

Regarding the judge and decisional neutrality. Is “neutrality” conceptualized any differently from impartiality in your country? Is this a general academic concern or a minor topic? Are there cases regarding neutrality of the judge? 442

In your country, is there a relevant academic or practical discussion regarding the effects of cognitive heuristics and biases and their influence in the work of the judge? 445

If there is a relevant discussion regarding cognitive limits of the judge, in which phases of the lawsuit is it considered relevant (eg.: evidence regulation, decisionmaking, review and appeal etc.)?	445
Is your country concerned with truth in decisionmaking from a cognitive perspective? Are judges trained to identify and avoid factors that can lead them to a wrong decision, including biases?	449
Has the Judiciary in your country adopted any measures regarding race and gender biases?	451
How does the judge act in the evidentiary phase in your country? Are there concerns regarding the biases that can emerge from the participation of the judge in this phase?	453
How is technology influencing procedure in your country? Are there any experiences regarding the use of Artificial Intelligence? If so, to which purpose? Are there uses of AI to litigate, to make decisions or to support these activities?	455
How do you evaluate the proportionate processing of personal data in a digital proceeding? Are there any practical concerns regarding the protection and processing of personal data and information that are used in digital lawsuits? Are there any concerns regarding the use of big data to predict the behavior of the judges (predictive justice)? Are there any initiatives to protect this information?	462
I. Open and free contributions for the discussion	465
Independence of the judiciary and functioning of the Supreme Court in Poland	465

CAPÍTULO 19

NATIONAL REPORT: SPAIN 469

Teresa Armenta Deu e Guillermo Ormazabal Sánchez

CAPÍTULO 20

NATIONAL REPORT: URUGUAY 493

Ignacio M. Soba Bracesco

I. Questions	493
--------------------	-----

CAPÍTULO 21

NATIONAL REPORT: UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 523

Richard Marcus